UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,864	02/21/2007	James Hagan	PB60521USw	8188
23347 GLAXOSMITH	7590 12/10/200 HKLINE	EXAMINER		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B482 FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398			JAVANMARD, SAHAR	
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/595,864	HAGAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ma</u>	av 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .						
Disposition of Claims						
•	ing in the application					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10,12-16 and 18-33</u> is/are pendi	• , ,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4, 7-10, 12-16, 18-33</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election require	ement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>		(1) (6)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atoni Appiloation				

Application/Control Number: 10/595,864 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 4, 6-10, 18-21, 22-26, and 28-33, drawn to a method for the treatment of a schizophrenic disorder in a mammal in need thereof, said method comprising administering to said mammal an effective amount of a compound formula (I).
- II. Claims 2 and 22-26, drawn to a method for the treatment of a schizophrenic disorder in a mammal in need thereof, said method comprising administering to said mammal an effective amount of a compound formula (II).
- III. Claims 3 and 22-26, drawn to a method for the treatment of a schizophrenic disorder in a mammal in need thereof, said method comprising administering to said mammal an effective amount of a compound formula (III), when Y is CH.
- IV. Claims 3 and 22-26, drawn to a method for the treatment of a schizophrenic disorder in a mammal in need thereof, said method comprising administering to said mammal an effective amount of a compound formula (III), when Y is N.
- V. Claims 12-16, drawn to kit-of-parts suitable for use in the treatment of schizophrenic disorders such as schizophrenia, delusional disorders, affective disorders, autism or tic disorders, schizophreniform disorders,

Art Unit: 1617

in particular chronic schizophrenic psychoses and schizoaffective psychoses, temporary acute psychotic disorders, comprising, a first dosage form comprising a neuroleptic drug and a second dosage form comprising a compound of formula (I) (II) and (III).

Inventions I-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions the methods of Groups I-V are directed toward employing different compounds for the treatment of schizophrenia. The compounds are recognized in the art as being distinct from one another because of their diverse chemical structure, their different chemical properties, modes of actions, different effects, and reactive conditions. Each of groups I - IV have different classifications and subclasses. It is noted that a reference disclosing a compound of one group would not necessarily disclose a compound of the other groups. Additionally, the level of skill in the art is not such that one invention would be obvious over the other, i.e. they are patentable over each other. Chemical structures that are similar are presumed to function similarly, while chemical structures that are not similar are not presumed, to function similarly. The presumption even for similar chemical structures though is not irrefutable, but may be overcome by scientific reasoning or evidence showing that the structure of the prior art would not have been expected to function as the structure of the claimed invention.

Thus, by virtue of the different structures presented in groups I -IV, these inventions are distinct.

Inventions (I-IV) and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, a method of treatment is different from a kit.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

If Applicant elects any one of Groups I-IV, <u>Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- a) a compound of formula I, II, or III from the respective

  Group (i.e. if Group I is elected, then a species from generic

  compound of formula I is elected, etc.)
- b) neuroleptic drug

If Applicant elects Group V, <u>Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to</u> <u>elect a single disclosed species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- a) a compound of formula I, II, and III
- b) neuroleptic drug

The species are independent or distinct because they encompass different compounds having different physiological effects and having different classifications in the art. Furthermore, a search for all species would pose an undue burden on the office because it would require a search through all of the classes and subclasses relating the various different compounds. Currently, claims 1-4, 7-10, 12-16, and 18-33 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Due to the complicated nature of the restriction, the restriction requirement is being made via written correspondence in lieu of a telephone interview.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one Claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAHAR JAVANMARD whose telephone number is (571) 270-3280. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM-5 PM MON-FRI (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,864 Page 8

Art Unit: 1617

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. J./

Examiner, Art Unit 1617

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617